



Mountainland Continuum of Care Agenda

June 13, 2011 at 9:30 AM
Location: United Way of Utah County

TIME	ISSUE/ITEM	DOCUMENT (S)	PERSON
9:30	Welcome and introductions		Larry Ellertson
9:35	Review and approval of last meeting's minutes	Minutes	Larry Ellertson
9:40	2011 Veterans Administration CHALENG Survey		Lance Fromm Al Hernandez
9:55	HUD Debriefing of CoC Exhibit 1 for last year	Summary	Myla Dutton
10:05	Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Update		State HMIS
10:10	State Unified Homeless Funding Grant Allocations	Funding chart	Myla Dutton
10:15	Update on HPRP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community Action Services & Food Bank • Food and Care Coalition 		Dan Gonzalez CASFB FCC
10:30	Committee Reports <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • REAP • Discharge Planning • Community Relations 		Rev. Green Brian Butler Kena Matthews
	Needs Sharing and Announcements		All

Next meeting: July 11, 2011 at 9:30 AM
United Way of Utah County (148 N. 100 W. in Provo)



Mountainland Continuum of Care Minutes

May 9, 2011 9:30 a.m.

United Way of Utah County

Members present:

- Larry Ellertson, Utah County Commission
- Sandra Curcio, Ability First
- Lance Fromm, Veteran Affairs
- Jack Robinson, Food and Care Coalition
- Lo An Le, Community Action Services and Food Bank
- Kathleen Moore, State Community Services Office B
- Brett Nielson, The 13th Step
- Rue Nielson, The 13th Step
- Gene Carly, Housing Authority Utah County
- Darrell Noble, House of Hope
- Angela Waghorne, Papilion House
- Laura Blanchard, UCCJC
- Lynell Smith, Housing Authority of Utah County
- Kena Mathews, Habitat for Humanity of Utah County
- Dan Gonzalez, Provo City Redevelopment Agency
- John Reed, Social Security Administration
- Tamara Kohler, State Community Services Office
- Ron Humphries, LDS Church, Department of Community and Culture
- Lloyd Pendleton, Department of Community and Culture
- Al Hernandez, Department of Veteran Affairs
- Brian Butler, Wasatch Mental Health
- Brent Crane, Food and Care Coalition
- Via Phone:* Jane Patten, Peace House
- Kelly Palmer, Bank of American Fork
- Bill Aaron, LDS Transient Services Office
- Nenna De Camps, Mountainland Community Services Trust
- Liz Merrill, American Red Cross
- Recorder: Marie Schwitzer, United Way of Utah County

Welcome and introductions	Larry Ellertson
----------------------------------	-----------------



<p>Minutes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review and approval of last meeting's minutes 	
<p>Update on HUD Federal Funding</p> <p>FY 2011</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Awaiting final FY 2011 appropriation from Congress Last week's House-passed appropriation held HUD's targeted homeless programs steady at the 2010 funding level. <p>HEARTH</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Until new regulations are released and effective, grantees must continue to use the current regulations. HUD will provide training on the new definition of homelessness and state when it will be effective. 2011 appropriation level will determine what provisions of HEARTH can be implemented in 2011 and the process. 	<p>Marie Schwitzer</p>
<p>2011 PIT Summary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PIT Count summary press conference: Wednesday, May 11. Both chronic and other homeless numbers have decreased. (Kathleen distributed preliminary PIT numbers) Mountainland had a total of 194 homeless including 57 unsheltered, 40 chronic homeless, 7 homeless veterans, 27-29 homeless children. Annualized to 910 which represents 6 percent. The count is impacted by the amount of outreach. Doubled up (95%); families down 9 percent. A different count date for future has been discussed. SCSO is trying to use some of the data gathered in the service-based survey: Kathleen will provide the CoC with more information per Larry's request. 	<p>Kathleen Moore</p>
<p>Presentation: The Road Home/SL County Housing Authority (Topic: Tenant selection for permanent supportive housing)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tenants selected in a centralized way, depends on funding. Consider the HUD definition: broad but a starting point. Work with police "hot list" (40 people who have the most arrests for public inebriation, trespassing, warrants. Total those costs and come up with a list. Vulnerability: Most at risk, who is the most physically ill. Frequent users of detox, drop-in and outreach centers. Developed an assessment tool examining how long they've been homeless, vulnerability, substance use, ability to meet basic needs, mental and medical health to capture their length of homelessness and use of services. Target those most in need. Take expensive chronic 	<p>Michelle Flynn Janice Kimball</p>



<p>They are working on making a system they can stop entering in METSYS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtain vote from Jane Patten, Doug Carlson, Myla Dutton and Nenna De Camps. 	<p>Brent Crane proposed to accept the state's recommendation of no migration, Angela seconded. The motion passed after additional voting via email May 10.</p>
<p>Food and Care Coalition Project Update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Last fall 700 campaign was announced to complete building as well as have first year's operation budget on hand. Short \$240,000. Building is complete looking to have a year's operation expense before opening as a condition set forth by the board. Physically ready to begin operation. \$362,000 is annual operating budget for upstairs including case management education, outreach Open to answering questions about eligibility, time frame, etc. now or later. Has done five years worth of research. Target population is homeless. Chronic homeless and referrals will be a part. Submitted a 30 page executive summary to Provo City before building began. Using a similar assessment tool to what Michele shared. Considering everything from health screening, to physicals, drug testing. Have HOME funds, application in for USG grant. Only got a little CDBG. This has been primarily a private venture. <i>Target opening date is in October.</i> AmeriCorps grant application submitted: an inexpensive way to staff and manage. 	<p>Brent Crane</p>
<p>Update on Use of HPRP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food and Care: Spent 34% with a year left: spent \$19,000 of \$36,000. July 17 marks 2 year deadline for expenditures, means we had to spend 60%. To date we've expended 25%. If you don't bridge by July 17, those dollars are gone. Angela at Papilion has units available to use those funds. Lo An Lee is aware of 60% expenditure, and is seeing more 	<p>LoAn Le</p>



<p>clients from Wasatch and Summit counties. CASFB is close to expending all of the State HPRP funds and about 40% of Provo HPRP. CASFB has served 60 households with 156 persons using the Provo HP and 134 households with 296 persons using Provo RRH. CASFB is doing more outreach trying to meet the 60%, did mostly rental in an effort to get that up.</p>	
<p>Committee Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • REAP: Reverend Green reported that funding is needed to make it through the end of July. Pamela Atkinson funds will be announced in two days. • Community Relations: Thanks to all the organizations that donated to the printing of brochures which will be ready for the next meeting. The community event will be in mid-June at the Food and Care Coalition. An email announcement will be sent. 	<p>Reverend Green</p> <p>Marie Schwitzer</p>
<p>The meeting adjourned at 11:30.</p>	

Next meeting: June 13, 2011, 9:30 a.m.
United Way of Utah County

CoC Name: Provo/Mountainland CoC
 CoC Number: UT-504
 High Score: 91.25
 Low Score: 38.5
 Median Score: 75.42
 Funding Line: 65

The below chart indicates the maximum amount of points available for each scoring category and the actual score a CoC received.

Scoring Category	Maximum Score (Points)	CoC Score (Points)
<i>CoC Housing, Services, and Structure</i>	14	12
<i>Homeless Needs and Data Collection</i>	26	20
<i>CoC Strategic Planning</i>	22	18.25
<i>CoC Performance</i>	32	26.25
<i>Emphasis on Housing Activities</i>	6	6
Total CoC Score	100	82.5

Competition Summary:

- More than \$1.628 billion was awarded to an unprecedented number of local programs.
 - There was a two-tiered award process. The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 renewal awards were announced on January 19, 2011 and the new awards were announced on April 28, 2011.
 - The FY2010 application included questions regarding educational assurances that will be required under the HEARTH Act for both CoCs and project applicants. CoCs were to provide information on current efforts and policies that exist within the CoC that consider the educational needs of children and families along with long-range plans to ensure school-aged children are connected to education and educational services. Beginning in the FY2011 CoC competition, these questions will be scored. Project applicants were to indicate if they have policies and practices that are consistent with, and do not restrict the exercise of rights provided by the education subtitle of the McKinney-Vento Act and demonstrate that programs that provide housing or services to families have a staff person designated to ensure children are enrolled in school and connected to the appropriate services within the community.
 - The minimum score to receive new funding projects was 65.
-

FY2010 Point Structure:

For the FY2010 CoC Competition, HUD awarded up to 100 total points for all five scoring categories: CoC Housing, Services and Structure, Homeless Needs and Data Collection, CoC Strategic Planning, CoC Performance, and Emphasis on Housing Activities. A detailed explanation of each category is provided below to assist your continuum in its self-evaluation

Part I: CoC Housing, Services, and Structure— We received 12 out of 14 total points

Summary of CoC Results on Part I:

- **We submitted our Housing Inventory Count successfully via the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX) website by the May 31, 2010 deadline.**
- Although there is no minimum to the number of member organizations that a CoC can identify, **CoCs are scored based on the level of involvement of those organizations.** Therefore, CoCs should be sure to only include those organizations that are actively involved in the CoC-wide planning process.

Part II: Homeless Needs and Data Collection— We received 20 out of 26 total points

Summary of CoC Results on Part II:

- The majority of points in this section were devoted to those questions about HMIS.
- Most CoCs demonstrated low bed coverage on one or more of the housing types. CoCs should strive to have 50 percent or more bed coverage for each housing type within the CoC. **We have 86% coverage in shelter; 76-85% coverage in transitional housing and 86%+ in PH beds.**
- Many CoCs lost points for not participating in either the FY2009 or FY2010 AHAR. For the 2010 AHAR (October 1, 2009 - September 30, 2010), two reports out of twelve were accepted and will be used in the next AHAR report. For the 2008 & 2009 AHAR, no reports were accepted. The expectation from HUD is that the CoC maintain, and hopefully increase, their acceptance rate. For 2011 AHAR, at least those two shells should be accepted to avoid losing points in the grant scoring.
- CoCs lost points for having a high percentage of null or missing values. **We have very low null or missing values (see chart below).**

Universal Data Element refused or unknown (%)	Records with no values (%)	Records where value is
* Social Security Number	0%	0%
* Date of Birth	0%	0%
* Ethnicity	3%	0%
* Race	3%	6%
* Gender	0%	0%
* Veteran Status	0%	3%
* Disabling Condition	0%	0%
* Residence Prior to Program Entry	0%	3%
* Zip Code of Last Permanent Address	3%	3%
* Name	0%	0%

- CoCs that did conduct a point-in-time count in FY2010 and reported the results along with narratives addressing differences from one count year to the next received additional credit. **We did fulfill this request for information.**

Part III: CoC Strategic Planning—We received 18.25 out of 22 total points

Summary of CoC Results on Part III:

- **We can strengthen our application by more clearly stating the timeframe for completion of future objectives.**
- **We did well in regards to our Discharge Planning narrative.** We had a clear description of the policies and procedures that were either being developed or already in place. We identified the stakeholders and/or collaborating agencies that ensure persons being discharged from publicly-funded institutions are not being discharged into homeless situations. We did provide specific examples of “Where” persons move to upon discharge (e.g., non-McKinney-Vento funded housing).
- **We showed a good level of coordination with other planning efforts,** specifically with the local HPRP initiative and other ARRA-funded initiatives in their areas. We also detailed how the CoC was collaborating with these other initiatives.
- We did well in describing our efforts to combat homelessness among veterans.

Part IV: CoC Performance— We received 26.25 out of 32 total points

Summary of CoC Results on Part IV:

- For achievements, CoCs were scored based on how they performed in comparison to the proposed achievements indicated in their 2009 application and the extent in which they met HUD’s objectives. **We exceeded all of our goals.**
- We did well in addressing participation and enrollment in mainstream programs.

Part V: Emphasis on Housing Activities —We received 6 out of 6 total points

Emphasis on Housing Activities was only scored on eligible new project requests.

- Points were awarded based on the relationship between new funds requested for housing activities and new funds requested for supportive service activities. **The CoC’s new project was 95% leasing and 5% admin.**

FY12 Allocation Detail

Full project by project listing with recommended funding amounts and reasons for cuts/increases. Focus on "Final FY12 Award" Column. Red indicates cuts and blue represents increased support. This is the list for approval.

#	Organization	Service	FY11 Award	FY12 Request	Final FY12 Award	Comments
1	Bear River Association Of Governments	Emergency Home Repair	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	
2	Bear River Association Of Governments	Homeless Prevention	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ -	
3	Bear River Association Of Governments	Transitional Housing	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ -	
4	Canyon Creek Women's Crisis Center	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 15,000	\$ -	
5	Canyon Creek Women's Crisis Center	Temporary Shelter	\$ 10,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 10,000	
6	Catholic Community Services of Utah	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	
7	Catholic Community Services of Utah	Essential Services	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	
8	Center for Women and Children In Crisis	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	
9	Center for Women and Children In Crisis	Essential Services	\$ -	\$ 4,000	\$ -	
10	Center for Women and Children In Crisis	Temporary Shelter	\$ 11,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 11,000	
11	Citizens Against Physical And Sexual Abuse (CAPSA)	Case Management	\$ 32,205	\$ 36,027	\$ 32,205	
12	Citizens Against Physical And Sexual Abuse (CAPSA)	Temporary Shelter	\$ 43,200	\$ 43,200	\$ 43,200	
13	Community Action Services	Essential Services	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	
14	Community Action Services	Temporary Shelter	\$ -	\$ 15,000	\$ -	
15	DOVE Center	Temporary Shelter	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ 10,000	New Award - Washington County
16	Davis Citizens Coalition Against Violence/Safe Harbor	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 16,500	\$ -	
17	Dixie Care and Share	Temporary Shelter	\$ 30,700	\$ 37,500	\$ 0	
18	Family Connection Center Of Davis Support Ctr	Transitional Housing	\$ -	\$ 28,960	\$ -	
19	Family Promise Salt Lake	Case Management	\$ 18,951	\$ 20,000	\$ 18,951	
20	Family Promise Salt Lake	Temporary Shelter	\$ 18,951	\$ 20,000	\$ 18,003	5% cut
21	Five County Association of Governments	Case Management	\$ 30,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 0	
22	Friends Of The Coalition	Case Management	\$ 11,370	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000	
23	Friends Of The Coalition	Temporary Shelter	\$ 28,426	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	
24	Friends Of The Coalition	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 80,000	\$ 78,669	Total award amounts to \$62,204 additional funding
25	Golden Spike Outreach	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 52,271	\$ 10,000	Award contingent on leveraging remaining \$42,000 in Case Management
26	Golden Spike Outreach	Essential Services	\$ -	\$ 19,095	\$ -	
27	Golden Spike Outreach	Operation	\$ -	\$ 14,400	\$ -	
28	Golden Spike Outreach	Outreach	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	

#	Organization	Service	FY11 Award	FY12 Request	Final FY12 Award	Comments
29	Homeless Veterans Fellowship	Case Management	\$ 15,161	\$ 15,500	\$ 15,161	Level funding overall for HVF though programming has changed
30	Homeless Veterans Fellowship	Essential Services	\$ -	\$ 14,100	\$ -	
31	Homeless Veterans Fellowship	Outreach	\$ 6,348	\$ 2,400	\$ 6,348	
32	Homeless Veterans Fellowship	Transitional Housing	\$ 28,426	\$ 20,000	\$ 28,426	
33	Housing Assistance Management Enterprise	Case Management	\$ 113,704	\$ 120,000	\$ 113,704	
34	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Case Management	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000	
35	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Other	\$ -	\$ 100,400	\$ -	
36	Iron County Care and Share Inc	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 23,500	\$ -	
37	Iron County Care and Share Inc	Essential Services	\$ 15,066	\$ 3,000	\$ 15,066	
38	Iron County Care and Share Inc	Temporary Shelter	\$ 44,534	\$ 69,000	\$ 44,534	
39	Logue Foundation	Case Management	\$ 61,589	\$ 164,000	\$ 61,589	
40	Logue Foundation	Essential Services	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -	
41	Logue Foundation	Mass Feeding	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ -	
42	Logue Foundation	Transitional Housing	\$ 16,862	\$ 103,358	\$ 16,862	
43	Mountains Community Housing Trust	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 16,350	\$ -	
44	New Horizons Crisis Center	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 19,678	\$ -	
45	New Horizons Crisis Center	Temporary Shelter	\$ 11,000	\$ 35,173	\$ 11,000	
46	Resource & Re-Entry	Temporary Shelter	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -	
47	Salt Lake County Community Resources and Development	Case Management	\$ 68,324	\$ 70,020	\$ 68,324	
48	South Valley Sanctuary	Case Management	\$ 7,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 7,000	
49	South Valley Sanctuary	Temporary Shelter	\$ 15,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 15,000	
50	The Erin Kimball Memorial Foundation	HUD Continuum of Care	\$ -	\$ 15,000	\$ -	
51	The Road Home	Case Management	\$ 50,257	\$ 53,040	\$ 50,257	
52	The Road Home	Case Management	\$ 303,209	\$ 325,000	\$ 306,400	Partial Cut Restoration of \$3,191
53	The Road Home	Essential Services	\$ 9,475	\$ 10,000	\$ 9,475	
54	The Road Home	Homeless Prevention	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	
55	The Road Home	Operation	\$ 293,242	\$ 190,000	\$ 278,580	5% cut
56	The Road Home	Temporary Shelter	\$ 212,228	\$ 342,516	\$ 201,617	5% cut
57	The Road Home Pathways	Case Management	\$ 38,659	\$ 120,800	\$ 157,560	Additional ESG funds for FY13 to sustain program once HPRP expires
58	The Road Home Pathways	Case Management	\$ 37,404	\$ 40,800	\$ 37,404	
59	The Road Home Pathways	Case Management	\$ 68,412	\$ 84,000	\$ 79,800	
60	Tooele County	Case Management	\$ 31,161	\$ 34,216	\$ 31,161	

#	Organization	Service	FY11 Award	FY12 Request	Final FY12 Award	Comments
61	Tooele County	HUD Continuum of Care	\$ -	\$ 23,275	\$ -	
62	Uintah Basin Association Of Governments	Emergency Home Repair	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ -	
63	Uintah Basin Association Of Governments	Essential Services	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	
64	Uintah Basin Association Of Governments	HUD Continuum of Care	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	Support new CoC grant for TH
65	Uintah Basin Association Of Governments	Homeless Prevention	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	
66	Uintah Basin Association Of Governments	Temporary Shelter	\$ -	\$ 18,125	\$ -	
67	Uintah Basin Association Of Governments	Transitional Housing	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	
68	Uintah County	Case Management	\$ 23,688	\$ 25,000	\$ 23,688	
69	Valley Mental Health	Case Management	\$ 82,002	\$ 87,000	\$ 82,002	
70	Volunteers Of America, Utah	Case Management	\$ 48,608	\$ 58,000	\$ 48,608	
71	Volunteers Of America, Utah	Outreach	\$ 59,410	\$ 96,000	\$ 89,410	Level funding plus additional \$30,000 for medical outreach request
72	Volunteers Of America, Utah	Temporary Shelter	\$ 41,407	\$ 50,000	\$ 39,337	5% cut
73	Volunteers Of America, Utah	Transitional Housing	\$ 19,803	\$ 22,000	\$ 19,803	
74	Wasatch Homeless Health Care	Case Management	\$ 3,797	\$ 9,800	\$ 3,797	
75	Wasatch Homeless Health Care	Essential Services	\$ 34,862	\$ 31,000	\$ 34,862	
76	Wasatch Mental Health Services SSD	Case Management	\$ 11,370	\$ 12,000	\$ 11,370	
77	Wasatch Mental Health Services SSD	Temporary Shelter	\$ 24,162	\$ 25,000	\$ 22,954	5% cut
78	Weber County	Emergency Home Repair	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	
79	YWCA Salt Lake City	Case Management	\$ 26,000	\$ 66,628	\$ 36,000	Level funding plus additional \$20,000 conditional award upon needs assessment
80	YWCA Salt Lake City	Temporary Shelter	\$ 45,000	\$ 62,207	\$ 45,000	
81	Your Community Connection	Case Management	\$ -	\$ 30,220	\$ -	

Utah State Unified Funding Grants

Mountainland Continuum of Care Region

Friends of the Coalition		
Case Management	\$ 12,000.00	
Temporary Shelter	\$ 10,000.00	
Case Management	\$ 78,669.00	
Golden Spike Outreach	\$ 10,000.00	Contingent upon leveraging \$42,000 in case management
Center for Women & Children in Crisis		
Temporary	\$ 11,000.00	
Wasatch Mental Health		
Case Management	\$ 11,370.00	
Temporary Shelter	\$ 22,954.00	
TOTAL	<u>\$ 155,993.00</u>	6.2%
Balance of State Continuum of Care Region	\$ 261,282.00	10.4%
Salt Lake Continuum of Care Region	\$ 2,100,441.00	83.4%
GRAND TOTAL FUNDING	\$ 2,517,716.00	100.0%